STATE OMBUDSMAN DATA:
NURSING HOME COMPLAINTS
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

The mission of the Office of Inspector General (OIG), as mandated by Public Law 95-452, as amended, is to protect the integrity of the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) programs, as well as the health and welfare of beneficiaries served by those programs. This statutory mission is carried out through a nationwide network of audits, investigations, and inspections conducted by the following operating components:

**Office of Audit Services**

The OIG's Office of Audit Services (OAS) provides all auditing services for HHS, either by conducting audits with its own audit resources or by overseeing audit work done by others. Audits examine the performance of HHS programs and/or its grantees and contractors in carrying out their respective responsibilities and are intended to provide independent assessments of HHS programs and operations in order to reduce waste, abuse, and mismanagement and to promote economy and efficiency throughout the Department.

**Office of Evaluation and Inspections**

The OIG's Office of Evaluation and Inspections (OEI) conducts short-term management and program evaluations (called inspections) that focus on issues of concern to the Department, the Congress, and the public. The findings and recommendations contained in the inspections reports generate rapid, accurate, and up-to-date information on the efficiency, vulnerability, and effectiveness of departmental programs.

**Office of Investigations**

The OIG's Office of Investigations (OI) conducts criminal, civil, and administrative investigations of allegations of wrongdoing in HHS programs or to HHS beneficiaries and of unjust enrichment by providers. The investigative efforts of OI lead to criminal convictions, administrative sanctions, or civil monetary penalties. The OI also oversees State Medicaid fraud control units which investigate and prosecute fraud and patient abuse in the Medicaid program.

**Office of Counsel to the Inspector General**

The Office of Counsel to the Inspector General (OCIG) provides general legal services to OIG, rendering advice and opinions on HHS programs and operations and providing all legal support in OIG’s internal operations. The OCIG imposes program exclusions and civil monetary penalties on health care providers and litigates those actions within the Department. The OCIG also represents OIG in the global settlement of cases arising under the Civil False Claims Act, develops and monitors corporate integrity agreements, develops model compliance plans, renders advisory opinions on OIG sanctions to the health care community, and issues fraud alerts and other industry guidance.
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

OBJECTIVE

To describe nursing home complaints based on an analysis of the National Ombudsman Reporting System (NORS) data from 1996 through 2000.

BACKGROUND

To protect the interests of nursing home residents, Congress established the State Long Term Care Ombudsman Program in the Older Americans Act Amendments of 1978. The ombudsman program is administered by the Administration on Aging (AoA) within the Department of Health and Human Services.

Long term care ombudsmen attempt to resolve problems of individual nursing home residents and to bring about changes to improve nursing home care at the local, State, and national levels. They help nursing home residents and their families understand and exercise rights that are guaranteed by Federal and State laws.

The Older Americans Act requires States to collect ombudsman complaint data and for the State ombudsman to report aggregate data to AoA. In fiscal year 1995, AoA implemented an ombudsman complaint reporting system called the National Ombudsman Reporting System (NORS). NORS data consist of 128 complaint categories divided into 5 major groups. NORS does not identify individual nursing homes.

We gathered data and information for calendar years 1996 through 2000 from NORS statistical reports, the annual ombudsman narrative comments submitted with NORS data, and interviews with State and local ombudsmen from nine selected States.

FINDINGS

We analyzed national NORS data from 1996 through 2000, as well as information regarding State-specific data obtained from 46 ombudsmen in 9 states. States are required to collect complaint data from ombudsmen and to report it to AoA. Nationally, the number of nursing home complaints increased, but the types of complaints have not changed significantly. However, the data are not comprehensive. NORS data should not be used to compare States with respect to the volume and types of complaints, because
local ombudsmen do not report all nursing home complaints in NORS, and they do not always use the same categories to classify complaints.

Nationally, from 1996 to 2000, the number of complaints increased, but the types of complaints did not change significantly

The total number of nursing home complaints grew from approximately 145,000 in 1996 to approximately 186,000 in 2000; however, the types of complaints have not changed significantly. The highest frequency of nursing home complaints involves resident care, and these complaints grew 37 percent from 1996 to 2000. The number of abuse cases peaked in 1998 and has declined about 3 percent since then.

Among the sampled States, local ombudsmen do not report complaints uniformly

Provisions in the Older Americans Act, as well as variations in State laws and policies, affect the number of abuse complaints that ombudsmen report. Local ombudsmen do not report all nursing home complaints into NORS. Furthermore, ombudsmen do not always use the same NORS categories to classify complaints, and they sometimes report a single complaint in several different categories.

RECOMMENDATION

We believe the consistency of NORS data could be improved if AoA shares the results of this report with State ombudsmen and continues to clarify and refine the NORS process.

Agency Response

AoA agreed that a lack of uniformity exists in the States’ reporting under NORS. AoA also agreed to distribute our final report to State ombudsmen and highlight the complaint trends. In addition, AoA plans to conduct regional and State training on the use of complaint codes.

AoA indicated that the use of the word “report,” as applied to the Older Americans Act, caused confusion in our finding that “local ombudsmen do not report complaints uniformly.” Therefore, we have changed our finding to state that “an ombudsman may not be able to file a formal complaint,” rather than stating “an ombudsman may not be able to report a complaint.” The full text of AoA’s comments appears in Appendix B.
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INTRODUCTION</strong></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FINDINGS</strong></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number and types of complaints</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ombudsmen reporting of NORS data</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RECOMMENDATION</strong></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>APPENDICES</strong></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B: Agency Comments</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS</strong></td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTRODUCTION

OBJECTIVE

To describe nursing home complaints based on an analysis of the National Ombudsman Reporting System (NORS) data from 1996 through 2000.

BACKGROUND

The Long Term Care Ombudsman Program

To protect the interests of nursing home residents, Congress established the State Long Term Care Ombudsman Program in the Older Americans Act Amendments of 1978. The ombudsman program is administered by the Administration on Aging (AoA) within the Department of Health and Human Services.

Long term care ombudsmen attempt to resolve problems of individual nursing home residents and to bring about changes to improve nursing home care at the local, State, and national levels. They help nursing home residents and their families understand and exercise rights that are guaranteed by Federal and State laws.

The Older Americans Act requires States to collect ombudsman complaint data and for the State ombudsman to report the aggregate data to AoA. In fiscal year 1995, AoA implemented an ombudsman complaint reporting system called the National Ombudsman Reporting System (NORS). The States developed training and certification programs for ombudsmen, which included training on reporting NORS data. NORS data consist of 128 complaint categories divided into 5 major groups. NORS does not identify individual nursing homes.

METHODOLOGY

We gathered data and information for calendar years 1996 through 2000 from NORS statistical reports, the annual ombudsman narrative comments submitted with NORS data, and interviews with State and local ombudsmen from nine selected States. We analyzed national and State-specific NORS data as well as information obtained from 46 ombudsmen in 9 States.
We used a sample of nine States for more in-depth data analysis. The sample States were California, Connecticut, Alabama, Maryland, Missouri, South Carolina, Texas, South Dakota, and New York. These States provide a cross section of ombudsman programs from large urban areas to small rural communities, as well as diverse geographic locations. They also account for approximately 30 percent of the nursing home beds within the United States.

In each of the sampled States, we analyzed NORS statistical data, tracked the trends within the State, and compared State and national data during the 5-year period. We did not validate NORS data. We conducted telephone interviews with the State ombudsman and at least four local ombudsmen from urban and rural areas within each State. We asked the ombudsmen about complaint trends, major issues, and concerns they had identified in the complaint trends during the past 5 years. We compared these responses with the national data and the NORS annual report narratives for each sampled State.

The inspection was conducted in accordance with the *Quality Standards for Inspections* issued by the President’s Council on Integrity and Efficiency.
FINDINGS

We analyzed national NORS data from 1996 through 2000 as well as information regarding State-specific data obtained from 46 ombudsmen in 9 States. States are required to collect complaint data from ombudsmen and to report it to AoA. Nationally, the number of nursing home complaints increased, but the types of complaints have not changed significantly. However, the data are not comprehensive. NORS complaint data should not be used to compare States with respect to the volume and types of complaints, because local ombudsmen do not report all nursing home complaints in NORS, and they do not always use the same categories to classify complaints.

Nationally, from 1996 to 2000, the number of complaints increased, but the types of complaints did not change significantly

NORS data include the number and nature of nursing home complaints, both nationally and within States. (See Appendix A for related graphs and tables.)

Nationally, the total number of nursing home complaints grew from approximately 145,000 in 1996 to approximately 186,000 in 2000 (Figure 1). During the same period, the number of complaints per 1,000 beds rose from 78.4 to 102.1 (Figure 2). This represented a 28 percent increase in the number of complaints and a 30 percent increase in the number of complaints per thousand beds.

The types of complaints reported into NORS since 1996 have not changed significantly. Nationally, each of the top 12 complaint categories remained in the top 12 between 1996 and 2000 (Figure 3). In 2000, these top 12 categories accounted for more than one-third of the total number of complaints. The distribution of complaints per 1,000 beds shows a similar consistency.

The highest frequency of nursing home complaints involves resident care. By 1999, complaints concerning resident care (e.g., accidents, not responding to call lights, patient symptoms unattended) had surpassed those concerning resident rights (e.g., abuse, access to information, issues about transfer and discharge). From 1996 through 2000, resident care complaints grew 37 percent compared to a 21 percent growth for complaints.
involving resident rights (Figure 4). As of 2000, 6 of the top 10 specific complaint categories pertained to resident care:

1. failure to respond to call lights or requests for assistance
2. accidents and improper handling of residents
3. lack of adequate care plans and resident assessments
4. inadequate administration of medications
5. unattended resident symptoms
6. poor personal hygiene

NORS data identify changes in the number of specific types of complaints. Nationally, one of the most prominent changes (for categories with at least 1,000 complaints in the year 2000) is that complaints concerning staff turnover increased by approximately 208 percent between 1996 and 2000 (see Figure 5).

Abuse cases reported to NORS peaked in 1998 and have declined about 3 percent since then. The total for all reported abuse cases rose from 13,469 in 1996 to 15,501 in 1998, and declined to 15,010 in 2000 (see Figure 6). During that period, among seven types of abuse categories, physical abuse was the most common type reported (see Figure 7).

Among the sampled States, local ombudsmen do not report complaints uniformly

Provisions in the *Older Americans Act*, as well as variations in State laws and policies affect the number of abuse complaints recorded into NORS. For example, under the *Older Americans Act*, an ombudsman may not be able to file a formal complaint without the consent of the resident or legal representative of the resident. As a result, ombudsmen may not enter abuse complaints in NORS data unless they actually open a case file and investigate it. Also, some States may have more abuse complaints reported into NORS because State law requires that all abuse complaints are reported to ombudsmen. Conversely, other States may have fewer abuse complaints reported into NORS, because their policy requires that all abuse complaints are reported to the licensing agency rather than to the ombudsmen. As a result, almost half (21 of 46) of the ombudsmen surveyed believe that complaints may be significantly under reported in NORS.

Ombudsman do not always use the same NORS categories to classify complaints. Thirty-nine of the 46 surveyed ombudsmen told us that they do not consistently follow the NORS categories in reporting complaints. AoA provides definitions of complaint

---

1 42 U.S.C. §3058g(d)
categories and instructions to ombudsmen for reporting NORS data. However, it is sometimes
difficult for ombudsmen to categorize complaints when several definitions apply. Despite
AoA’s instructions, ombudsmen sometimes report a single complaint in several different
categories. One State ombudsman and four local ombudsmen from another State told us that
if a single complaint applied to multiple NORS categories, they would report the complaint in
each category rather than choosing one.

NORS data exhibited some inconsistencies. For example, one State had difficulty reporting
data in 2000 because of Y2K problems. In 1997, NORS data, which were posted on the
AoA website, included two tables that contained conflicting numbers of reported complaints.

According to one in three (15 of 46) ombudsmen interviewed, the number of ombudsmen, in
relation to the number of nursing homes in a given state, may affect the number of complaints
recorded in NORS. For example, a State with fewer ombudsmen has less resources to
respond, investigate, and report nursing home complaints than a State which has more
ombudsmen.

---

2 See www.aoa.dhhs.gov/notices/2002/complaint-codes.pdf to view the instructions for completing the State long term care
ombudsman program reporting form.
RECOMMENDATION

We believe the consistency of NORS data could be improved if AoA shares the results of this report with State ombudsmen and continues to clarify and refine the NORS process.

Agency Response

AoA agreed that a lack of uniformity exists in the States’ reporting under the NORS. AoA also agreed to distribute our final report to State ombudsmen and highlight the complaint trends. In addition, AoA plans to conduct regional and State training on the use of complaint codes.

AoA indicated that the use of the word “report,” as applied to the Older Americans Act, caused confusion in our finding that “local ombudsmen do not report complaints uniformly.” Therefore, we have changed our finding to state that “an ombudsman may not be able to file a formal complaint,” rather than stating “an ombudsman may not be able to report a complaint.” The full text of AoA’s comments appears in Appendix B.
Growth in Complaints

Nationally, the total number of complaints grew from approximately 145,000 in 1996 to approximately 186,000 in 2000.

Figure 1: Total Reported Complaints, 1996-2000

During the same period, the number of complaints per 1,000 beds rose from 78.4 to 102.1.

Figure 2: Complaints per 1,000 Nursing Home Beds, 1996-2000
The Most Common Types of NORS Complaints

Nationally, each of the top 12 complaint categories has remained in the top 12 between 1996 and 2000.

**Figure 3: Top Ombudsman Complaint Categories, 1996-2000**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F40</td>
<td>Accidents</td>
<td>6,661</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7,675</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F41</td>
<td>Request for Assistance</td>
<td>5,441</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8,676</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>59.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F45</td>
<td>Personal Hygiene</td>
<td>5,301</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7,279</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>37.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D26</td>
<td>Dignity, Respect-Staff Attitudes</td>
<td>4,882</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7,351</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>50.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F42</td>
<td>Care Plan</td>
<td>4,453</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7,550</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>69.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M97</td>
<td>Staff Shortage</td>
<td>4,332</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6,625</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>53.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Physical Abuse</td>
<td>4,321</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4,350</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J71</td>
<td>Menu Quality</td>
<td>4,295</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5,540</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>29.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C19</td>
<td>Discharge, Eviction</td>
<td>4,110</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5,762</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>40.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E38</td>
<td>Personal Property</td>
<td>3,598</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4,227</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F44</td>
<td>Meds Administration</td>
<td>3,123</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4,914</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>57.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F48</td>
<td>Symptoms Unattended</td>
<td>3,198</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4,617</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Resident Care Complaints

The highest frequency of nursing home complaints involve resident care. By 1999, complaints concerning resident care (e.g., accidents, not responding to call lights, patient symptoms unattended) surpassed those concerning resident rights (e.g., abuse, access to information, issues about transfer and discharge).

Figure 4: Ombudsman Complaints by Major Category, 1996-2000
Specific Types of NORS Complaints

Between 1996 and 2000, of the categories with at least 1,000 complaints in 2000, complaints concerning staff turnover have the highest national growth, with an increase of approximately 208 percent.

Figure 5: Complaint Categories with the Largest Growth, 1996-2000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M99</td>
<td>Staff Turn-Over</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>1,015</td>
<td>207.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J70</td>
<td>Dehydration</td>
<td>1,122</td>
<td>2,219</td>
<td>97.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K81</td>
<td>Infection Control</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>1,074</td>
<td>91.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M101</td>
<td>Supervision</td>
<td>1,825</td>
<td>3,326</td>
<td>82.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D27</td>
<td>Exercise Choice and/or Civil Rights</td>
<td>2,211</td>
<td>3,803</td>
<td>72.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K78</td>
<td>Cleanliness, Pests</td>
<td>2,242</td>
<td>3,832</td>
<td>70.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F42</td>
<td>Care Plan/Assessment</td>
<td>4,453</td>
<td>7,550</td>
<td>69.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F41</td>
<td>Call Lights, Requests for</td>
<td>5,441</td>
<td>8,676</td>
<td>59.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F44</td>
<td>Medications - Administration</td>
<td>3,123</td>
<td>4,914</td>
<td>57.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M100</td>
<td>Staff Unresponsive, Unavailable</td>
<td>2,376</td>
<td>3,700</td>
<td>55.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reported NORS Abuse Cases

The total for all reported abuse cases rose from 13,469 in 1996 to 15,501 in 1998, then declined to 15,010 in 2000.

Figure 6: Reported Abuse Complaints, 1996-2000

During that period, among seven types of abuse categories, physical abuse was the most common type reported.

Figure 7: Types of Abuse Complaints, 1996-2000
TO: Janet Requena  
Inspector General  

FROM: Assistant Secretary for Aging  

SUBJECT: OIG Draft Report "State Ombudsman Data: Nursing Home Complaints" OEL-09-02-00160  

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the above-referenced report, which identified and highlighted complaint trends in the National Ombudsman Reporting System (NORS) data and addressed the need to improve consistency and uniformity in the data.

The Administration on Aging (AoA) and many of the state directors on aging and state ombudsmen share your office's concern about areas of inconsistency and lack of uniformity in the states' reporting under the NORS. We have worked with the state ombudsmen over a period of years to improve the level of consistency and uniformity in the data and have developed an action plan to address specific areas which require more improvement, especially in the area of documenting complaints about abuse in long-term care facilities.

For the report's recommendations, we will certainly distribute the final report to all state ombudsmen and highlight for them the complaint trends that were identified and the need for more uniformity in documenting complaints.

Earlier this year we issued an OMB-approved revised reporting system, which includes refined complaint categories and instructions on how to code complaints (to which reference was made in the report). Copies of these documents are attached.

As part of our plan, we will continue to work with the state ombudsmen — and with local ombudsmen where possible — to reduce inconsistencies among the states in documenting complaints. At a spring 2005 training conference, state ombudsmen held an in-depth discussion of reporting inconsistencies and identified specific areas where increased definition and ongoing training are needed to improve the reliability of the data. In addition to the national ombudsman training, AoA plans to provide training on use of the complaint codes at regional and state conferences of local ombudsmen as opportunities arise.

As we discussed with OEI staff, there is an error on page seven of the report. The report states, "Provisions in the Older Americans Act, as well as variations in state laws and policies, affect the number of abuse complaints recorded into NORS. For example, under the Older
America's Act, an ombudsman may not be able to report a complaint without the consent of the resident or legal representative of the resident. The example refers to a different use of the word "report" than the meaning under discussion in the report. It is true that ombudsmen cannot report ("report") an abuse case to another agency without the consent of the resident or her representative, but ombudsmen can and should document ("document") in the NORS system the abuse cases in which they are involved. If they open a case file and investigate the complaint, the case and complaint should be, and usually is, counted in the NORS system, even if it is referred to another agency for resolution. (Ombudsmen do not need the resident's or her representative's consent to document the case in the NORS system.) Conversely, if they refer ("report") an alleged abuse case to another agency for investigation and do not investigate the case themselves, they should not document it in the NORS system. This error can be corrected by simply dropping the sentence that begins with "For example." Or, the sentence could say that the NORS abuse data do not give a complete picture of the incidence of nursing home abuse because not all abuse allegations are investigated by the ombudsman program and documented in the NORS.

Thank you very much for this report, which clearly demonstrates how the ombudsman complaint data can be used to identify trends and issues in institutional long-term care and the importance of consistency among the states in collecting the data.

[Signature]

Joseph C. Capronell

Attachments
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