



JUL 14 2008

Region VII
601 East 12th Street
Room 284A
Kansas City, Missouri 64106

Report Number: A-07-08-04132

Mr. Guy Ringle
Senior Vice President
Medicare Division
Wisconsin Physicians Service
1717 West Broadway
Madison, Wisconsin 53713

Dear Mr. Ringle:

Enclosed is the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Office of Inspector General (OIG), final report entitled "Review of Wisconsin Physicians Service Insurance Corporation High-Dollar Payments for Medicare Part A Claims Processed by Wheatlands Administrative Services, Inc., for the Period January 1, 2003, Through December 31, 2005." We will forward a copy of this report to the HHS action official noted on the following page for review and any action deemed necessary.

The HHS action official will make final determination as to actions taken on all matters reported. We request that you respond to this official within 30 days from the date of this letter. Your response should present any comments or additional information that you believe may have a bearing on the final determination.

Pursuant to the principles of the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552, as amended by Public Law 104-231, OIG reports generally are made available to the public to the extent the information is not subject to exemptions in the Act (45 CFR part 5). Accordingly, this report will be posted on the Internet at <http://oig.hhs.gov>.

If you have any questions or comments about this report, please do not hesitate to call me, or contact Raylene Mason, Audit Manager, at (816) 426-3203 or by e-mail at Raylene.Mason@oig.hhs.gov. Please refer to report number A-07-08-04132 in all correspondence.

Sincerely,

Patrick J. Cogley
Regional Inspector General
for Audit Services

Enclosure

Direct Reply to HHS Action Official:

Nanette Foster Reilly, Consortium Administrator
Consortium for Financial Management & Fee for Service Operations
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
601 East 12th Street, Room 235
Kansas City, Missouri 64106

Department of Health and Human Services

**OFFICE OF
INSPECTOR GENERAL**

**REVIEW OF WISCONSIN
PHYSICIANS SERVICE INSURANCE
CORPORATION HIGH-DOLLAR
PAYMENTS FOR MEDICARE PART A
CLAIMS PROCESSED BY
WHEATLANDS ADMINISTRATIVE
SERVICES, INC., FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2003, THROUGH
DECEMBER 31, 2005**



Daniel R. Levinson
Inspector General

July 2008
A-07-08-04132

Office of Inspector General

<http://oig.hhs.gov>

The mission of the Office of Inspector General (OIG), as mandated by Public Law 95-452, as amended, is to protect the integrity of the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) programs, as well as the health and welfare of beneficiaries served by those programs. This statutory mission is carried out through a nationwide network of audits, investigations, and inspections conducted by the following operating components:

Office of Audit Services

The Office of Audit Services (OAS) provides auditing services for HHS, either by conducting audits with its own audit resources or by overseeing audit work done by others. Audits examine the performance of HHS programs and/or its grantees and contractors in carrying out their respective responsibilities and are intended to provide independent assessments of HHS programs and operations. These assessments help reduce waste, abuse, and mismanagement and promote economy and efficiency throughout HHS.

Office of Evaluation and Inspections

The Office of Evaluation and Inspections (OEI) conducts national evaluations to provide HHS, Congress, and the public with timely, useful, and reliable information on significant issues. These evaluations focus on preventing fraud, waste, or abuse and promoting economy, efficiency, and effectiveness of departmental programs. To promote impact, OEI reports also present practical recommendations for improving program operations.

Office of Investigations

The Office of Investigations (OI) conducts criminal, civil, and administrative investigations of fraud and misconduct related to HHS programs, operations, and beneficiaries. With investigators working in all 50 States and the District of Columbia, OI utilizes its resources by actively coordinating with the Department of Justice and other Federal, State, and local law enforcement authorities. The investigative efforts of OI often lead to criminal convictions, administrative sanctions, and/or civil monetary penalties.

Office of Counsel to the Inspector General

The Office of Counsel to the Inspector General (OCIG) provides general legal services to OIG, rendering advice and opinions on HHS programs and operations and providing all legal support for OIG's internal operations. OCIG represents OIG in all civil and administrative fraud and abuse cases involving HHS programs, including False Claims Act, program exclusion, and civil monetary penalty cases. In connection with these cases, OCIG also negotiates and monitors corporate integrity agreements. OCIG renders advisory opinions, issues compliance program guidance, publishes fraud alerts, and provides other guidance to the health care industry concerning the anti-kickback statute and other OIG enforcement authorities.

Notices

THIS REPORT IS AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC
at <http://oig.hhs.gov>

Pursuant to the principles of the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552, as amended by Public Law 104-231, Office of Inspector General reports generally are made available to the public to the extent the information is not subject to exemptions in the Act (45 CFR part 5).

OFFICE OF AUDIT SERVICES FINDINGS AND OPINIONS

The designation of financial or management practices as questionable, a recommendation for the disallowance of costs incurred or claimed, and any other conclusions and recommendations in this report represent the findings and opinions of OAS. Authorized officials of the HHS operating divisions will make final determination on these matters.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

BACKGROUND

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) contracts with fiscal intermediaries to administer Medicare Part A claims. The intermediaries' responsibilities include determining costs and reimbursement amounts, maintaining records, establishing controls, safeguarding against fraud and abuse, conducting reviews and audits, and making payments to providers for services rendered. Federal guidance requires intermediaries to maintain adequate internal controls to prevent increased program costs and erroneous or delayed payments.

Providers generate the claims for inpatient and outpatient services provided to Medicare beneficiaries. Medicare guidance requires providers to bill accurately for the services and procedures provided. Inpatient hospital services are paid based on the Medicare prospective payment system (PPS). Under the PPS, claims are paid a predetermined amount based on a patient's placement into a specific diagnosis-related group and an additional amount, known as an outlier, for stays that have extraordinarily high costs. Outpatient hospital services are paid based on the number of times that the service or procedure being reported was performed. Hospitals are required to report claims for outpatient services using coding from the Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System.

To process providers' inpatient and outpatient claims, the intermediaries use the Fiscal Intermediary Standard System and CMS's Common Working File. These systems can detect certain improper payments when processing claims for prepayment validation.

During our audit period (calendar years (CY) 2003 through 2005), Wheatlands Administrative Services, Inc. (Wheatlands), was the Medicare Part A fiscal intermediary serving Medicare providers in Kansas. Wheatlands processed 382,574 inpatient claims and approximately 4.1 million outpatient claims during this period. Of these claims, Wheatlands processed 20 inpatient claims that had payments of \$200,000 or more and 15 outpatient claims that had payments of \$50,000 or more. We considered these high-dollar claims to be at high risk for overpayment.

On March 1, 2008, CMS awarded the Kansas fiscal intermediary contract to Wisconsin Physicians Service Insurance Corporation (WPS). Although Wheatlands processed the Medicare Part A claims for the audit period we reviewed, WPS has since assumed responsibility as the Medicare Part A fiscal intermediary for Kansas. Therefore, we are issuing our report to WPS because (a) Wheatlands no longer has access to the Medicare Part A processing system and (b) as the fiscal intermediary, WPS has assumed the responsibility to ensure that the claims have been corrected.

OBJECTIVE

Our objective was to determine whether high-dollar Medicare claims that Wheatlands processed and paid to Kansas Part A providers for inpatient and outpatient services were appropriate.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Seventeen of the twenty high-dollar inpatient claims that Wheatlands paid to providers were appropriate. However, Wheatlands overpaid providers \$12,997 for the remaining 3 claims. One provider refunded an overpayment of \$58 before our fieldwork started. Two claims totaling net overpayments of \$12,939 were outstanding at the start of our fieldwork.

Two of the fifteen high-dollar outpatient claims that Wheatlands paid to providers were appropriate. However, Wheatlands overpaid providers \$964,625 for the remaining 13 claims. Providers refunded 10 overpayments totaling \$755,915 before our fieldwork started. Three overpayments totaling \$208,710 remained outstanding at the start of our fieldwork.

Take together, these incorrect high-dollar claims resulted in \$221,649 in overpayments that remained outstanding.

The providers attributed the incorrect high-dollar claims to clerical errors or to billing systems that could not detect and prevent the incorrect billing of units of service. In addition, Wheatlands processed incorrect provider claims because neither the Fiscal Intermediary Standard System nor the Common Working File had sufficient edits in place during CYs 2003 through 2005 to detect billing errors related to units of service.

RECOMMENDATIONS

We recommend that WPS:

- recover the \$221,649 in overpayments,
- use the results of this audit in its provider education activities, and
- identify and recover any additional overpayments made for high-dollar Part A inpatient claims paid after CY 2005.

AUDITEE COMMENTS

In written comments on our draft report, WPS stated that it would comply with all of our recommendations. WPS's comments are included in their entirety as the Appendix.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION	1
BACKGROUND	1
Fiscal Intermediary Responsibilities.....	1
Claims for Inpatient and Outpatient Services	1
Wisconsin Physicians Service Insurance Corporation	2
New Fiscal Intermediary Prepayment Edit	2
OBJECTIVE, SCOPE, AND METHODOLOGY	2
Objective	2
Scope.....	2
Methodology	3
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	3
FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS	4
Inpatient Claims	4
Outpatient Claims	4
INAPPROPRIATE INPATIENT HIGH-DOLLAR PAYMENTS	5
INAPPROPRIATE OUTPATIENT HIGH-DOLLAR PAYMENTS	5
CAUSES OF INCORRECT PAYMENTS	5
RECOMMENDATIONS	5
AUDITEE COMMENTS	6
APPENDIX	
AUDITEE COMMENTS	

INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

Fiscal Intermediary Responsibilities

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) contracts with fiscal intermediaries to administer Medicare Part A claims. The intermediaries' responsibilities include determining costs and reimbursement amounts, maintaining records, establishing controls, safeguarding against fraud and abuse, conducting reviews and audits, and making payments to providers for services rendered. Federal guidance requires intermediaries to maintain adequate internal controls to prevent increased program costs and erroneous or delayed payments.

Claims for Inpatient and Outpatient Services

Providers generate the claims for inpatient and outpatient services provided to Medicare beneficiaries. Medicare guidance requires providers to bill accurately for the services and procedures provided. Inpatient hospital services are paid based on the Medicare prospective payment system (PPS). In accordance with the PPS, fiscal intermediaries reimburse hospitals a predetermined amount depending on the illness and its classification under a diagnosis-related group (DRG). Inpatient stays that are extremely long or have extraordinarily high costs are eligible for an additional amount called an outlier payment.

The Medicare fiscal intermediary identifies outlier cases by comparing the estimated costs of a case to a DRG-specific fixed-loss threshold. Because hospitals cannot calculate the costs of cases individually, the fiscal intermediary uses the Medicare charges the hospital reported on its claim to estimate the cost of a case. Inaccurately reporting charges can lead to excessive outlier payments.

Outpatient hospital services are paid based on the number of times the service or procedure being reported was performed. Hospitals are required to report claims for outpatient services using coding from the Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System (HCPCS).

To process providers' inpatient and outpatient claims, the intermediaries use the Fiscal Intermediary Standard System and CMS's Common Working File. These systems can detect certain improper payments when processing claims for prepayment validation.

In calendar years (CY) 2003 through 2005, providers submitted approximately 40.9 million inpatient claims and approximately 409.4 million outpatient claims nationwide. Of the 40.9 million inpatient claims, only 8,253 claims resulted in payments of \$200,000 or more. Of the 409.4 million outpatient claims, only 1,243 claims resulted in payments of \$50,000 or more. We considered these high-dollar claims to be at high risk for overpayment.

Wisconsin Physicians Service Insurance Corporation

During our audit period, Wheatlands Administrative Services, Inc. (Wheatlands), was the Medicare Part A fiscal intermediary serving Medicare providers in Kansas. During this period, Wheatlands processed 382,574 Kansas Part A inpatient claims that had payments of approximately \$2.4 billion and approximately 4.1 million outpatient claims that had payments of approximately \$760.7 million. Of these claims, Wheatlands processed 20 inpatient claims and 15 outpatient claims that had high-dollar payments. On March 1, 2008, CMS awarded the Kansas fiscal intermediary contract to Wisconsin Physicians Service Insurance Corporation (WPS).

The Social Security Act's definition of "provider of services" encompasses hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, comprehensive outpatient rehabilitation facilities, home health agencies, renal dialysis facilities, and hospice programs. However, all providers with high-dollar claims processed during our audit period were hospitals; thus, the term "provider" as used in the remainder of this report refers to hospitals.

New Fiscal Intermediary Prepayment Edit

On January 3, 2006, after the end of our audit period, CMS required intermediaries to implement a Fiscal Intermediary Standard System edit to suspend potentially excessive Medicare payments for prepayment review. This edit suspends outpatient claims of \$50,000 or more and requires intermediaries to contact providers to determine the legitimacy of the claims.

OBJECTIVE, SCOPE, AND METHODOLOGY

Objective

Our objective was to determine whether high-dollar Medicare claims that Wheatlands processed and paid to Kansas Part A providers for inpatient and outpatient services were appropriate.

Scope

We reviewed the 20 high-dollar inpatient claims totaling \$5,357,969 and 15 high-dollar outpatient claims totaling \$1,201,279 processed during CYs 2003 through 2005.

We limited our review of Wheatlands' internal control structure to those controls applicable to the 35 claims because our objective did not require an understanding of all internal controls over the submission and processing of claims. Our review allowed us to establish a reasonable assurance of the authenticity and accuracy of the data obtained from the National Claims History file, but we did not assess the completeness of the file.

We conducted fieldwork from May 2007 through February 2008. Our fieldwork included contacting Wheatlands, located in Topeka, Kansas, and the hospitals that received the payments for the high-dollar claims.

Methodology

To accomplish our objective, we:

- reviewed applicable Medicare laws and regulations;
- used CMS's National Claims History file to identify Medicare Part A inpatient and outpatient claims with high-dollar payments;
- reviewed available Common Working File claims histories for claims with high-dollar payments to determine whether the claims had been canceled and superseded by revised claims or whether the payments remained outstanding at the time of our fieldwork;
- coordinated our claims review with Wheatlands;
- contacted providers to determine whether the high-dollar claims were billed correctly;
- obtained documentation from the providers confirming all incorrect claims identified; and
- provided supporting documentation for all the incorrect claims identified to WPS on June 3, 2008.

Although Wheatlands processed the Medicare Part A claims for the audit period we reviewed, WPS has since assumed responsibility as the Medicare Part A fiscal intermediary for Kansas. Therefore, we are issuing our report to WPS because (a) Wheatlands no longer has access to the Medicare Part A processing system and (b) as the fiscal intermediary, WPS has assumed the responsibility to ensure that the claims have been corrected.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objective.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Seventeen of the twenty high-dollar inpatient claims that Wheatlands paid to providers were appropriate. However, Wheatlands overpaid providers \$12,997 for the remaining 3 claims. One provider refunded an overpayment of \$58 before our fieldwork started. Two claims totaling net overpayments of \$12,939 were outstanding at the start of our fieldwork.

Two of the fifteen high-dollar outpatient claims that Wheatlands paid to providers were appropriate. However, Wheatlands overpaid providers \$964,625 for the remaining 13 claims. Providers refunded 10 overpayments totaling \$755,915 before our fieldwork started. Three overpayments totaling \$208,710 remained outstanding at the start of our fieldwork.

Taken together, these incorrect high-dollar claims resulted in \$221,649 in overpayments that remained outstanding.

The providers attributed the incorrect high-dollar claims to clerical errors or to billing systems that could not detect and prevent the incorrect billing of units of service. In addition, Wheatlands processed incorrect provider claims because neither the Fiscal Intermediary Standard System nor the Common Working File had sufficient edits in place during CYs 2003 through 2005 to detect billing errors related to units of service.

FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS

Inpatient Claims

The Social Security Amendments of 1983 (Public Law 98-21) provided for the establishment of the PPS. In accordance with Medicare's PPS for inpatient acute care hospitals, reimbursement to hospitals for inpatient services furnished to beneficiaries is a predetermined amount, known as a DRG payment.

Section 1886(d)(5)(A) of the Social Security Act requires that Medicare pay hospitals an outlier payment in addition to the basic DRG amount to protect the hospital from incurring large financial losses due to unusually expensive cases. Furthermore, the CMS "Hospital Manual," section 462, states: "In order to be paid correctly and promptly, a bill must be completed accurately."

Section 3700 of the CMS "Medicare Intermediary Manual" states: "It is essential that you [the fiscal intermediary] maintain adequate internal controls over Title XVIII [Medicare] automatic data processing systems to preclude increased program costs and erroneous and/or delayed payments."

Outpatient Claims

Section 9343(g) of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1986 requires hospitals to report claims for outpatient services using coding from the HCPCS. Section 3627.8(C) of the "Medicare Intermediary Manual" states: "The definition of service units is being revised for hospital outpatient services where HCPCS code reporting is required. A unit is being redefined as the 'number of times the service or procedure being reported was performed.'" Furthermore, the "Hospital Manual," section 462, states: "In order to be paid correctly and promptly, a bill must be completed accurately."

Section 3700 of the “Medicare Intermediary Manual” states: “It is essential that you [the fiscal intermediary] maintain adequate internal controls over Title XVIII [Medicare] automatic data processing systems to preclude increased program costs and erroneous and/or delayed payments.”

INAPPROPRIATE INPATIENT HIGH-DOLLAR PAYMENTS

Two high-dollar inpatient claims, totaling \$12,939 in net overpayments, remained outstanding at the start of our fieldwork:

- For one claim, the provider performed a detailed charge level review, which identified that, because of the patient’s length of stay, the hospital prepared interim and final bills. The interim bill included multiple procedure codes that resulted in an allowable provider payment of \$229,297. However, the final bill failed to include all procedure codes for the services provided, resulting in an allowable provider payment of \$221,200. This billing error resulted in a provider underpayment of \$8,097.
- For one claim, the provider performed a detailed charge level review that disclosed undocumented pharmacy billings, which resulted in a provider overpayment of \$21,036.

INAPPROPRIATE OUTPATIENT HIGH-DOLLAR PAYMENTS

For the three high-dollar claims totaling \$208,710 which remained outstanding, providers incorrectly billed the units of service. On one claim, the provider billed 6,000 units of service when it should have billed 6 units, resulting in an overpayment of \$96,702. On the second claim, the provider billed 500 units when it should have billed 1 unit on three different days, resulting in an overpayment of \$53,716. On the third claim, the provider billed 70 units of service when it should have billed 7 units, resulting in an overpayment of \$58,292.

CAUSES OF INCORRECT PAYMENTS

The providers attributed the incorrect high-dollar claims to clerical errors or to billing systems that could not detect and prevent the incorrect billing of units of service. In addition, Wheatlands processed incorrect provider claims because neither the Fiscal Intermediary Standard System nor the Common Working File had sufficient edits in place during CYs 2003 through 2005 to detect billing errors related to units of service. CMS relied on providers to notify the intermediaries of excessive payments.

RECOMMENDATIONS

We recommend that WPS:

- recover the \$221,649 in overpayments,
- use the results of this audit in its provider education activities, and

- identify and recover any additional overpayments made for high-dollar Part A inpatient claims paid after CY 2005.

AUDITEE COMMENTS

In written comments on our draft report, WPS stated that it would comply with all of our recommendations. WPS's comments are included in their entirety as the Appendix.

APPENDIX



Medicare

June 23, 2008

Patrick J. Cogley
Regional Inspector General for Audit Services
Region VII
601 East 12th Street
Room 284A
Kansas City, MO 64106

Re: OIG Blue Book Audit A-07-08-04132
June 2008

Dear Mr. Cogley:

This letter is in response to the Draft OIG Blue Book titled "Review of Wisconsin Physicians Service Insurance Corporation High-Dollar Payments for Medicare Part A Claims Processed by Wheatland's Administrative Services, Inc., for the Period January 1, 2003 Through December 31, 2005". In your letter dated June 9, 2008 you requested our office to provide comments on each of the recommendations.

WPS assumed responsibility for the state of Kansas and all prior Wheatland's processing activity on March 1, 2008. We understand that your office has identified twenty high-dollar inpatient accounts and fifteen high-dollar outpatient accounts. Of these initial findings there are two inpatient and three outpatient claims that remain to be taken back for a total of \$221,649.00 in overpayments.

Recommendations

OIG recommends that WPS:

- recover the \$221,649 in overpayments,
- use the results of this audit in its provider education activities, and
- identify and recover any additional overpayments made for high-dollar Part A inpatient claims paid after CY 2005.

WPS intends to recoup the overpaid amounts for the five remaining claims. We will do this by adjusting the claims following normal adjustment procedures including abiding by the four-year reopening guidelines. The results of this review are also being shared with the WPS Provider Outreach area, so that they can be incorporated into future educational activities.

WPS will continue, as we have in the past, to employ the use of system edits to monitor claims for high dollar payments as well as potential excessive units. WPS also provides further safeguards by the reimbursement and claims areas monitoring all out-going checks for abnormalities in the payment tolerance levels of providers.



Wisconsin Physicians Service Insurance Corporation serving as a CMS Medicare contractor
P.O. Box 1787 • Madison, WI 53701 • Phone 608-221-4711



Medicare

If a scheduled payment is significantly over the normal the range for that provider, the remittance advice is investigated to determine the validity of payment. If applicable, payments are suspended pending further verification.

Our Provider Communications staff will use the results of this audit where applicable in our future provider education activities.

WPS will identify and recover any additional overpayments made for high-dollar Part A inpatient claims paid after CY 2005.

WPS looks forward to working with you in the completion of this OIG Audit of high-dollar payments by Wheatland's Administrative Services. If you have any questions, or need any more information please contact Michelle Routt at 402-351-8293 or me at 402-351-6915.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mark DeFoil".

Mark DeFoil
Director Contract Coordination

cc: John Phelps, KCRO



Wisconsin Physicians Service Insurance Corporation serving as a CMS Medicare contractor
P.O. Box 1787 • Madison, WI 53701 • Phone 608-221-4711