

Department of Health and Human Services

**OFFICE OF  
INSPECTOR GENERAL**

**OREGON INCORRECTLY CLAIMED  
MEDICAID EXPENDITURES FOR INDIAN  
HEALTH SERVICE FACILITIES ON THE  
CMS-64**

*Inquiries about this report may be addressed to the Office of Public Affairs at  
[Public.Affairs@oig.hhs.gov](mailto:Public.Affairs@oig.hhs.gov).*



Sheri L. Fulcher  
Regional Inspector General  
for Audit Services

December 2015  
A-05-15-00014

# ***Office of Inspector General***

<http://oig.hhs.gov>

---

The mission of the Office of Inspector General (OIG), as mandated by Public Law 95-452, as amended, is to protect the integrity of the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) programs, as well as the health and welfare of beneficiaries served by those programs. This statutory mission is carried out through a nationwide network of audits, investigations, and inspections conducted by the following operating components:

## ***Office of Audit Services***

The Office of Audit Services (OAS) provides auditing services for HHS, either by conducting audits with its own audit resources or by overseeing audit work done by others. Audits examine the performance of HHS programs and/or its grantees and contractors in carrying out their respective responsibilities and are intended to provide independent assessments of HHS programs and operations. These assessments help reduce waste, abuse, and mismanagement and promote economy and efficiency throughout HHS.

## ***Office of Evaluation and Inspections***

The Office of Evaluation and Inspections (OEI) conducts national evaluations to provide HHS, Congress, and the public with timely, useful, and reliable information on significant issues. These evaluations focus on preventing fraud, waste, or abuse and promoting economy, efficiency, and effectiveness of departmental programs. To promote impact, OEI reports also present practical recommendations for improving program operations.

## ***Office of Investigations***

The Office of Investigations (OI) conducts criminal, civil, and administrative investigations of fraud and misconduct related to HHS programs, operations, and beneficiaries. With investigators working in all 50 States and the District of Columbia, OI utilizes its resources by actively coordinating with the Department of Justice and other Federal, State, and local law enforcement authorities. The investigative efforts of OI often lead to criminal convictions, administrative sanctions, and/or civil monetary penalties.

## ***Office of Counsel to the Inspector General***

The Office of Counsel to the Inspector General (OCIG) provides general legal services to OIG, rendering advice and opinions on HHS programs and operations and providing all legal support for OIG's internal operations. OCIG represents OIG in all civil and administrative fraud and abuse cases involving HHS programs, including False Claims Act, program exclusion, and civil monetary penalty cases. In connection with these cases, OCIG also negotiates and monitors corporate integrity agreements. OCIG renders advisory opinions, issues compliance program guidance, publishes fraud alerts, and provides other guidance to the health care industry concerning the anti-kickback statute and other OIG enforcement authorities.

# *Notices*

---

**THIS REPORT IS AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC**  
at <http://oig.hhs.gov>

Section 8M of the Inspector General Act, 5 U.S.C. App., requires that OIG post its publicly available reports on the OIG Web site.

## **OFFICE OF AUDIT SERVICES FINDINGS AND OPINIONS**

The designation of financial or management practices as questionable, a recommendation for the disallowance of costs incurred or claimed, and any other conclusions and recommendations in this report represent the findings and opinions of OAS. Authorized officials of the HHS operating divisions will make final determination on these matters.

## INTRODUCTION

***Oregon incorrectly claimed Medicaid expenditures for Indian Health Service facilities on the CMS-64.***

### WHY WE DID THIS REVIEW

The Federal Government pays a share of a State's medical assistance expenditures under Medicaid based on the Federal medical assistance percentage (FMAP). However, it reimburses States for services provided through Indian Health Service (IHS) facilities at an enhanced FMAP rate of 100 percent. Prior Office of Inspector General reviews<sup>1</sup> determined that State agencies incorrectly claimed expenditures as services provided through IHS facilities, therefore receiving unallowable Federal reimbursement. We conducted the current audit to determine whether Oregon claimed Medicaid expenditures for IHS facilities at the enhanced FMAP rate in accordance with Federal requirements.

### OBJECTIVE

Our objective was to determine whether the State agency correctly claimed Medicaid expenditures on the CMS-64 for IHS facilities at the enhanced FMAP rate from October 1, 2007 through September 30, 2014, in accordance with Federal requirements.

### BACKGROUND

#### **Medicaid Program: How It Is Administered and How States Claim Federal Reimbursement for Their Expenditures**

The Medicaid program provides medical assistance to low-income individuals and individuals with disabilities and along with the Medicare program represents one of the largest areas of spending in the Federal Government. In contrast to the Medicare program, both the Federal and State governments jointly fund and administer the Medicaid program.

At the Federal level, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), an agency with the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS), administers the Medicaid program. Each State administers its Medicaid program in accordance with a CMS-approved State plan. In Oregon, the Oregon Health Authority (State agency) administers the Medicaid program. The State plan establishes which services the Medicaid program will cover. Although the State has considerable flexibility in designing and operating its Medicaid program, it must comply with applicable Federal requirements.

The Federal Government pays its share of a State's medical assistance expenditures under Medicaid based on the FMAP, which varies depending on the State's relative per capita income.

---

<sup>1</sup> *Review of the Quarterly Medicaid Statement of Expenditures for the Medical Assistance Program in Oklahoma*, (A-06-09-00097, issued July 5, 2011) and *Indiana Incorrectly Reported Expenditures on the Form CMS-64 at the Enhanced Federal Medical Assistance Percentage Rate*, (A-05-14-00011, issued May 22, 2014).

Although FMAPs are adjusted annually for economic changes in the States, Congress may increase FMAPs at any time. Certain Medicaid services receive a higher FMAP, including family planning services (90 percent) and services provided through an Indian Health Service (IHS) facility (100 percent). CMS's *State Medicaid Manual* instructs States to use Column (c) of the base Form CMS-64.9<sup>2</sup> to report expenditures provided by IHS facilities.

### **Enhanced Federal Reimbursement of Certain Primary Care Service Expenditures**

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA)<sup>3</sup> established an enhanced Medicaid FMAP reimbursement rate of 100 percent for primary care services provided in 2013 and 2014 by a physician with a primary specialty designation of family medicine, general internal medicine, or pediatric medicine.

### **Expansion of Medicaid Eligibility**

ACA expanded Medicaid coverage by creating an opportunity for States to provide Medicaid coverage, effective January 1, 2014, for individuals under 65 years of age with incomes up to 133 percent of the Federal poverty level (FPL). Oregon chose to expand their Medicaid program to include newly eligible individuals. The ACA established an enhanced Medicaid FMAP reimbursement rate of 100 percent for medical assistance provided to newly eligible individuals in 2014 through 2016. CMS introduced Form CMS-64.9VIII to allow States to report medical assistance expenditures related to newly eligible individuals.

## **HOW WE CONDUCTED THIS REVIEW**

We selected 5 quarters with a total of \$1,175,287,578 in Medicaid expenditures that the State agency reported for IHS facilities in Column (c) of the CMS-64.9 from October 1, 2007 through September 30, 2014 (FFY 2008 through 2014). From the \$1,175,287,578 we judgmentally selected \$1,170,903,363 to review for supporting documentation. We reviewed supporting records that the State agency maintained and did not evaluate claims submitted by providers to determine their validity.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Appendix A contains the details of our audit scope and methodology and Appendix B contains the Federal requirements related to reporting Medicaid expenditures at the enhanced FMAP rate.

---

<sup>2</sup> *State Medicaid Manual* section 2500.2(A) instructs States to "Report payments made in a prior period but not included on the expenditure report for that period, and payments made as adjustments to amounts claimed in prior periods on Form 64.9p".

<sup>3</sup> The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, P.L. No. 111-148 (Mar. 23, 2010), as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, P.L. No. 111-152 (Mar. 30, 2010), is known as the Affordable Care Act.

## FINDING

The State agency did not always claim the correct Medicaid amount as IHS expenditures from October 1, 2007 through September 30, 2014, in accordance with Federal requirements. The State agency correctly claimed IHS expenditures of \$13,140,359 but incorrectly claimed ACA enhanced physician payment expenditures of \$91,785,363 in Column (c) of the CMS-64.9 and ACA enhanced eligibility expenditures of \$1,065,977,641 in Column (c) of the CMS-64.9VIII. There is no monetary recovery, since these expenditures were eligible for 100 percent reimbursement.

This error occurred because the State agency did not have adequate procedures to ensure that ACA expenditures were reported in the correct column of the Form CMS-64.

### EXPENDITURES INCORRECTLY CLAIMED AS INDIAN HEALTH SERVICES

CMS's *State Medicaid Manual* instructs States to use Column (c) of the CMS-64.9 to report expenditures provided by IHS facilities. The State agency incorrectly claimed \$1,157,763,004 of ACA Medicaid expenditures in Column (c), IHS facilities, on the CMS-64.9 for 4 of the 5 quarters selected. Specifically:

- For the quarter ending September 30, 2013, the State agency incorrectly claimed ACA expenditures of \$29,282,813 as IHS expenditures on the CMS-64.
- For the quarter ending March 31, 2014, the State agency incorrectly claimed ACA expenditures of \$8,290,604 as IHS expenditures on the CMS-64.
- For the quarter ending June 30, 2014, the State agency incorrectly claimed ACA expenditures of \$647,613,644 as IHS expenditures on the CMS-64.
- For the quarter ending September 30, 2014, the State agency incorrectly claimed ACA expenditures of \$472,575,943 as IHS expenditures on the CMS-64.

## RECOMMENDATION

We recommend that the State agency establish review procedures to ensure that Medicaid expenditures are claimed in the correct column of the CMS-64.

### STATE AGENCY COMMENTS

In written comments on our draft report, the State agency concurred with our recommendation and described corrective actions it had taken and planned to take. The State agency's comments are included in their entirety as Appendix C.

## **APPENDIX A: AUDIT SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY**

### **SCOPE**

From the audit period October 1, 2007 through September 30, 2014, we selected 5 quarters with a total of \$1,175,287,578 in Medicaid expenditures that the State agency reported for IHS facilities in Column (c) of the CMS-64.9. From the \$1,175,287,578 we selected \$1,170,903,363 to review for supporting documentation.

We limited our review of supporting documentation to records that the State agency maintained and did not evaluate claims submitted by providers to determine their validity. Our objective did not require a review of the overall internal control structure of the State agency. Therefore, we limited our internal control review to the State agency's procedures for reporting Medicaid expenditures on the CMS-64 report.

We conducted our audit work from December 2014 through July 2015.

### **METHODOLOGY**

To accomplish our objectives, we:

- reviewed applicable Federal laws and regulations and the State plan;
- interviewed State agency officials to obtain an understanding of their policies and procedures for reporting Medicaid expenditures on the CMS-64 report;
- traced expenditures reported for IHS facilities to detailed records and analyzed those records; and
- discussed our results with the State agency.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

## **APPENDIX B: FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS**

### **FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR REPORTING MEDICAID EXPENDITURES AT THE INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE FACILITIES RATE**

Section 1905(b) of the Act and 42 CFR § 433.10(c)(2) authorize reimbursement for services provided through IHS facilities at 100 percent.

The CMS State Medicaid Manual (the Manual) section 2500.2(C) states the FMAP is 100 percent for services received by Indians through an IHS facility, whether operated by the IHS, an Indian Tribe, or a tribal organization. The Manual states to use Column (c) of Form CMS-64.9 to report expenditures for medical assistance made in accordance with the Indian Health Care Improvement Act (P.L. No. 94-437).

### **FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AFFORDABLE CARE ACT ENHANCED FMAP FOR PRIMARY CARE PHYSICIAN SERVICES AND EXPANDED ELIGIBILITY**

Section 1202(a)(1) of the Affordable Care Act amended section 1902(a)(13)(C) of the Act to authorize reimbursement for certain services provided by a primary care physician at 100 percent and defined said services.

Section 1202(b) of the Affordable Care Act amended section 1905 of the Act to authorize an increased FMAP of 100 percent for services described in section 1902(a)(13)(C) furnished on or after January 1, 2013, and before January 1, 2015.

Section 2001(a)(3) of the Affordable Care Act amended section 1905 of the Act to authorize an expansion in Medicaid eligibility up to 133 percent of FPL with an FMAP of 100 percent for medical assistance provided to newly eligible individuals in calendar years 2014 through 2016.

## APPENDIX C: STATE AGENCY COMMENTS



DIVISION OF MEDICAL ASSISTANCE  
Policy and Planning)

Kate Brown, Governor

Oregon  
**Health**  
Authority

500 Summer St. NE, E-35  
Salem, OR, 97301-1077  
Voice 503-945-5772  
FAX 503-373-7689  
TTY 711

November 23, 2015

Sheri L. Fulcher  
Regional Inspector General for Audit  
Services  
Office of Audit Services, Region V  
233 North Michigan, Suite 1360  
Chicago, IL 60601

RE: Response to Report Number A-05-15-00014

Dear Ms. Fulcher:

Thank you for the opportunity to review and response to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Inspector General (OIG), draft report entitled *Oregon Incorrectly Claimed Medicaid Expenditures for Indian Health Services Facilities on the CMS-64*. Below we have provided our response to the report's recommendation. We would also like to thank you for the work of your staff in completing this review.

**Recommendation:**

We recommend that the State establish review procedures to ensure that Medicaid expenditures are claimed in the correct column of the CMS-64.

**Oregon Health Authority Response:**

The Oregon Health Authority agrees with the finding and the recommendation.

The process we were using to input data into the CMS-64 has been revised, and Oregon no longer defaults all 100% match to Indian Health Services. The system configuration is being updated to enable specific mapping for all 100% match eligible services, with full implementation expected by the Federal Fiscal Year 2015 Quarter 2 reporting cycle. In addition, our Grant Accounting lead is reviewing our reporting specifically related to Indian Health Services.

Thank you again for the opportunity to review and respond to the draft report. Should you have any questions related to our response, please contact Shawn Jacobsen, Controller for DHS and OHA, at 503-945-6869, or David Lyda, Chief Audit Officer for DHS and OHA, at 503-945-6700.

Sincerely,

/Leslie M. Clement/

Leslie M. Clement  
Director of Health Policy and Analytics  
Interim State Medicaid Director