TABLE 1.—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Address</th>
<th>Waste description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(6) Reopener Language: (A) If, at any time after disposal of the delisted waste, Nissan possesses or is otherwise made aware of any environmental data (including but not limited to leachate data or groundwater monitoring data) or any other data relevant to the delisted waste indicating that any constituent identified in the delisting verification testing is at a level higher than the delisting level allowed by EPA in granting the petition, Nissan must report the data, in writing, to EPA within 60 days of first possessing or being made aware of that data. (B) If the testing of the waste, as required by Condition (2)(B), does not meet the delisting requirements of Condition (1), Nissan must report the data, in writing, to EPA within 60 days of first possessing or being made aware of that data. (C) Based on the information described in paragraphs (6)(A) or (6)(B) and any other information received from any source, EPA will make a preliminary determination as to whether the reported information requires that EPA take action to protect human health or the environment. Further action may include suspending or revoking the exclusion, or other appropriate response necessary to protect human health and the environment. (D) If EPA determines that the reported information does require Agency action, EPA will notify the facility in writing of the action believed necessary to protect human health and the environment. The notice shall include a statement of the proposed action and a statement providing Nissan with an opportunity to present information as to why the proposed action is not necessary. Nissan shall have 10 days from the date of EPA’s notice to present such information.

(E) Following the receipt of information from Nissan, as described in paragraph (6)(D), or if no such information is received within 60 days, EPA will issue a final written determination describing the Agency actions that are necessary to protect human health or the environment, given the information received in accordance with paragraphs (6)(A) or (6)(B). Any required action described in EPA’s determination shall become effective immediately, unless EPA provides otherwise.

(7) Notification Requirements: Nissan must provide a one-time written notification to any State Regulatory Agency in a State to which or through which the delisted waste described above will be transported, at least 60 days prior to the commencement of such activities. Failure to provide such a notification will result in a violation of the delisting conditions and a possible revocation of the decision to delist.
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reportable. We cited, as an example, administrative actions, such as limited training permits, limited licenses for telemedicine, fines or citations that do not restrict a practitioner’s practice, or personnel actions for tardiness, that were not within the range of actions intended by the statute. As a result, we agreed to add a clarifying phrase to this term. The revised definition would exclude administrative fines or citations, corrective action plans and other personnel actions, unless they are (1) connected to the billing, provision or delivery of health care services, and (2) taken in conjunction with other licensure or certification actions such as revocation, suspension, censure, reprimand, probation, or surrender. However, we inadvertently omitted this clarifying language to the regulations text of the rule itself. Therefore, to be consistent with the intended clarification and the overall intent of the final rulemaking, we are correcting this inadvertent error in the definition of the term “any other negative action or finding” that appeared on page 57759 in the October 26, 1999 final regulations to include this additional clarifying language.

Comments should be addressed specifically to the issue of clarifying the existing definition of the term in question in accordance with the earlier final rulemaking.

Response to Public Comments

Comments will be available for public inspection beginning on July 8, 2005 in Room 5108 of the Office of Inspector General at 330 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington DC, on Monday through Friday of each week from 8 a.m. to 4 p.m., (202) 619–0089. Because of the number of comments we normally receive on regulations, we will not acknowledge or respond to them individually. However, we will consider all timely and appropriate comments when developing the final corrections amendment.

List of Subjects in 45 CFR Part 61

Billing and transportation services, Durable medical equipment suppliers and manufacturers, Health care insurers, Health maintenance organizations, Health professions, Home health care agencies, Hospitals, Penalties, Pharmaceutical suppliers and manufacturers, Privacy, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Skilled nursing facilities.

Therefore, 45 CFR part 61 is proposed to be amended by making the following correcting amendment:

PART 61—HEALTHCARE INTEGRITY AND PROTECTION DATA BANK FOR FINAL ADVERSE INFORMATION ON HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS, SUPPLIERS AND PRACTITIONERS

1. The authority citation for part 61 would continue to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 1320a-7e.

2. Section 61.3 would be amended by republishing the introductory text, and by revising the definition for the term “Any other negative action or finding” to read as follows:

§61.3 Definitions.

The following definitions apply to this part:

* * * * *

Any other negative action or finding by a Federal or State licensing agency means any action or finding that under the State’s law is publicly available information, and rendered by a licensing authority, including but not limited to, limitations on the scope of practice, liquidations, injunctions and forfeitures. This definition also includes final adverse actions rendered by a Federal or State licensing or certification authority, such as exclusions, revocations or suspension of license or certification that occur in conjunction with settlements in which no finding of liability has been made (although such a settlement itself is not reportable under the statute). This definition excludes administrative fines or citations and corrective action plans and other personnel actions, unless they are:

(1) Connected to the delivery of health care services; and

(2) Taken in conjunction with other licensure or certification actions such as revocation, suspension, censure, reprimand, probation or surrender.

* * * * *

Dated: June 20, 2005.

Ann Agnew,
Executive Secretary to the Department.
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BILLING CODE 4152–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
50 CFR Part 679
[I.D. 062005A]
Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Public Workshop
AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of public workshop.

SUMMARY: NMFS will present a workshop on proposed catch-monitoring standards for the non-American Fisheries Act (AFA) trawl catcher/processor sector. These standards are necessary to support proposed groundfish and prohibited species allocations to this sector that are under consideration by the North Pacific Fishery Management Council.

DATES: The workshop will be held Monday, June 27, 2005, from 10 a.m. to 1 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The workshop will be held at the Nordby Center, located in Fishermen’s terminal, 1711 W Nickerson St, Seattle, WA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alan Kinsolving, 907–586–7228.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The North Pacific Fisheries Management Council is developing proposed Amendment 80 to the Fishery Management Plan for Groundfish of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Area (FMP). Amendment 80 would allocate prohibited species and target species other than Pacific cod and pollock to trawl catcher/processor vessels that are not qualified to fish for pollock under the AFA. One aspect of the analysis of alternatives being developed for Amendment 80 includes options for catch monitoring, weighing, and accounting standards for the non-AFA trawl catcher/processor sector. NMFS is conducting the June 27, 2005, workshop so that interested industry members may provide guidance to NMFS on the development and implementation of these standards.

This workshop is not intended to be a forum for providing public comment on the proposed rule to implement proposed Amendment 79 to the FMP. That proposed rule also would establish catch monitoring standards for some vessels within the non-AFA trawl catcher/processor sector. Written comments on Amendment 79 may be submitted to NMFS consistent with the protocol set forth in the preamble to the proposed rule published in the Federal Register on June 16, 2005 (70 FR 35054).

This workshop is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Alan Kinsolving (see FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT).